

Pergamon

Tetrahedron Letters, Vol. 35, No. 26, pp. 4631-4634, 1994 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain 0040-4039/94 \$7.00+0.00

0040-4039(94)00843-4

C₂-Symmetric Bis(Aziridines): A New Class of Chiral Ligands for Transition **Metal-Mediated Asymmetric Synthesis**

David Tanner^{a‡}, Pher G. Andersson^a, Adrian Harden^a and Peter Somfai^b

^aDepartment of Organic Chemistry, University of Uppsala, Box 531, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

^bOrganic Chemistry 2, Lund Institute of Technology, University of Lund, Box 124, S-221 00 Lund, Sweden

Key Words: Chiral ligands; asymmetric dihydroxylation; catalytic asymmetric allylic substitution; catalytic asymmetric cyclopropanation; catalytic asymmetric aziridination.

Abstract: The readily available C_2 -symmetric bis(aziridines) 1 can act as ligands in a variety of asymmetric transformations mediated by transition metals. In the best cases, >95% ee can be obtained.

For the past few years we have been exploring the use of chiral aziridines^{1a} for asymmetric synthesis and we have shown^{1b} how aziridines can function as chiral *substrates* (e.g. for the enantioselective total synthesis of β -lactam antibiotics), and as chiral *auxiliaries* for asymmetric alkylation and aldol reactions. We now wish to report on the use of C_2 -symmetric bis(aziridines), 1, as chiral ligands for both stoichiometric and catalytic asymmetric processes mediated by transition metals.

The general route to ligands 1 (Scheme 1) is very simple and is based on the ready availability of enantiomerically pure C_2 -symmetric epoxides from chiral 1,2-diois²⁴. The epoxides^{2b} (2 eq.) are subjected to ring-opening by a suitable diamine (1 eq.), followed by a double Mitsunobu reaction³ which gives the desired ligands in good overall yield. The synthetic approach is flexible and allows for (i) entry

to both enantiomeric series (ii) variation of ring-substituents, (iii) different lengths of the spacer chain, (iv) introduction of extra stereocentres in the spacer, if required. So far, our studies have concentrated on ligand 1 (R = Ph, $n = 2$) which has been used in the asymmetric transformations⁴ shown in Schemes 2 - 5.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of C₂-symmetric aziridine ligands. (a) 0.5 eq. $H_2N(CH_2)_nNH_2$, Δ .

The first reaction we studied was the stoichiometric syn-dihydroxylation^{5a,b} of trans-stilbene mediated by a complex of 1 and OsO₄ (Scheme 2). The bis(aziridine) did indeed function as an accelerative ligand (the reaction could be carried out at low temperature), and the stilbene diol with the absolute configuration shown^{5c} was isolated in good chemical yield and in 95% ee. (At this stage we prefer not to speculate on possible mechanisms^{2a}).

Scheme 2. Stoichiometric asymmetric dihydroxylation using ligand 1. $(2-3 \text{ eq.} \text{ ligand per OsO}_4)$.

If desired, the intermediate osmate ester complex of 1 can be isolated; after hydrolytic work-up and flash chromatography, the ligand is easily recovered for re-use. It is also noteworthy that the product of this reaction actually serves as the starting material for synthesis of the enantiomeric ligand!

With this encouraging result in hand, we turned our attention to the more demanding issue of *catalytic* asymmetric synthesis in the form of the palladium-mediated reaction^{6a,b,c} shown in Scheme 3.

Scheme 3. Catalytic asymmetric nucleophilic substitution using ent-1. Pd(II) = $(\pi$ -allyl)₂PdCl₂, E = CO₂Me. Conditions (a): 1.5 eq. CH₂(CO₂Me)₂, 1.5 eq. N, O-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide, 0.1 eq. KOAc, THF, rt, 77%. Conditions (b): 1.2 eq. NaCH($CO₂Me$)₂, THF, rt, 89%.

This process involves nucleophilic attack by the malonate anion on a (distorted) square-planar complex formed from the chiral ligand, Pd(II), and racemic 1.3-diphenyl-2-propenyl acetate. The absolute stereochemistry of the product^{6d} is consistent with the intermediacy of the structure shown below (Chem 3D representation) in which non-bonded interactions are minimized. The nucleophile approaches anti with respect to palladium, and attacks the less-hindered terminus (C-1) of the π -allyl system. Attack at C-3 is hindered by one of the phenyl groups on the nearest aziridine ring. (We are currently engaged on the isolation and structure determination of the putative π -allyl intermediate).

The reaction can be run under two different sets of conditions^{6a}, an experimental advantage of the present system being that preformed sodium malonate can be used (conditions b in Scheme 3).

Recently, much attention has been paid to copper-catalyzed asymmetric cyclopropanation^{7a,b,c} and aziridination^{8a,b}, and it was therefore of interest to test our ligands in such reactions (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Catalytic asymmetric cyclopropanation using ligand 1.

Although the levels of ee obtained^{7d} so far are modest in comparison to the best literature values^{7 $a-c$}, we are hopeful that the necessary improvements can be made by fine-tuning of the ligand structure. (For example, in his impressive study of asymmetric cyclopropanation, Evans^{7a} has pointed out the advantage of having 6- rather than 5-membered chelates as the catalytic species). With 1, in contrast to the Evans ligands, the more easily handled $Cu(II)$ triflate can be used in place of the corresponding copper(I) species. The process is nevertheless presumed to involve a Cu(I) complex as the catalyst, with the diazoester acting as the reducing agent⁹. Mechanistic speculation pertaining to the stereochemical course of the reaction must await further experimentation.

Finally, copper catalysis of the reaction between PhI=NTs and olefins in the presence of ligands 1 offers the amusing possibility of using one chiral aziridine to mediate formation of another (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5. Catalytic asymmetric aziridination using ligand 1.

As for cyclopropanation using the same ligand 1, it is apparently immaterial if one starts with Cu(I) or Cu(II); the latter has been suggested^{8b} to be the true catalytic species, with PhI=NTs acting as oxidant. The enantioselective agiridination of styrene has also proved problematic for others^{84,b} and the detailed reaction mechanism remains obscure; thus, while the ee shown above⁸^c is not impressive it is nevertheless encouraging. Modification of the catalytic system and ligand strugture, in order to improve enantioselectivity, is under way¹⁰.

Acknowledgements. We thank the Swedish Natural Science Research Council for financial support and Dr. P. O. Norrby for preparing the Figure.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- (a) Review: Tanner, D. Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 625; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, $\mathbf{1}$. 599. (b) Tanner, D. Pure & Appl. Chem. 1993, 65, 1319 and refs. therein.
- $2.$ (a) Review: Johnson, R. A.; Sharpless, K. B. in Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis; Ojima, I. Ed.; VCH: New York, 1993; pp. 227-272. (b) Kolb, H.; Sharpless, K.B. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 10515.
- $3.$ Review: Mitsunobu, O. Synthesis 1981, 1.
- 4. Recent review of nitrogen ligands in organometallic chemistry and homogeneous catalysis: Togni, A.; Venanzi, L. M. Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 517; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 497.
- See, e.g.: (a) Hanessian, S.; Meffre, P.; Girard, M.; Beaudoin, S.; Sancéau, J. Y.; Bennani, Y. J. 5. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1991. (b) Nakajima, M.; Tomioka, K.; Iitaka, Y.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 10793 and refs. therein. (c) Absolute configuration and ee determined by polarimetry: $[\alpha]_D$ -85.6° (c = 1.0, EtOH). Lit. for enantiomer (>99% ee): +90.1° (c = 1.0, EtOH): Jacobsen, E. N.; Markó, I.; Mungall, W. S.; Schröder, G.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1968.
- 6. See, e.g.: (a) Kubota, H.; Nakajima, M.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron 1993, 50, 8135. (b) Leutenegger, U.; Umbricht, G.; Fahrni, C.; von Matt, P.; Pfaltz, A. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 2143. (c) Togni, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1991, 2, 683 and refs. therein. (d) Absolute configuration determined by polarimetry, ee by chiral shift reagent ¹H NMR spectroscopy at 300 MHz (cf. ref. 6b). Lit. (99% ee): $[\alpha]_D$ -18.4° (c = 1.1, EtOH): von Matt, P.; Pfaltz, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32. 566.
- 7. See, e.g.: (a) Evans, D. A.; Woerpel, K. A.; Hinman, M. M.; Faul, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 726. (b) Lowenthal, R. E.; Abiko, A.; Masamune, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6005. (c) Fritschi, H.; Leutenegger, U.; Pfaltz, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 1553. (d) Absolute configuration determined by polarimetry, ee by chiral HPLC (cf. ref. 7a).
- 8. See, e.g.: (a) Li, Z.; Conser, K. R.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5326. (b) Evans, D. A.; Faul, M. M.; Bilodeau, M. T.; Anderson, B. A.; Barnes, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5328. (c) Absolute configuration and ee determined by comparison with an authentic sample of the enantiomer (cf. refs. 8a, 8b).
- 9. Salomon, R. G.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 3300.
- $10.$ The ligand is recovered unchanged from the reactions shown in Schemes 3 - 5.

(Received in UK 6 April 1994; accepted 29 April 1994)